

United States Patent and Trademark Office

30

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/623,019	07/17/2003	John F. Burd	DDI-0038-USA-DIV	4593		
7590 10/26/2005			EXAMINER			
Johnson & Johnson			ALEXAND	ALEXANDER, LYLE		
International Pa Attn: Philip Joh	atent Law Division	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
P.O. Box 1222		1743	1743			
New Brunswick	k, NJ 08903	DATE MAILED: 10/26/200	DATE MAILED: 10/26/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/623,0	19	BURD ET AL.				
		Examine		Art Unit				
		Lyle A. Al		1743				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on th	e cover sheet with th	e correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte - after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ING DATE OF THE CFR 1.136(a). In no evition. In period will apply and with statute, cause the apply statute.	HIS COMMUNICATI ent, however, may a reply be fill expire SIX (6) MONTHS for lication to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this ONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
Status								
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1						
		· This action is r	on-final					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	,	,					
	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applic	cation						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are withdrawn norn consideration.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election r	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Ex	raminer						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Objected to by th	e Examiner				
,	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreian priority un	der 35 U.S.C. & 119	(a)-(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	or origin pricerny arr	40. 00 0.0.0. 3 1 10	(4) (4) 5. (1).				
·	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
				•				
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/17/03. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-10 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Cefali (USP 6,469,035).

Cefali teaches a correlation of the drug being administered to organ markers and the concentrations of the drug metabolites. The tables illustrates various permutations of the data such as those that cover claims 2-4. Column 14 line 58 teaches clofibrate may be one of the active drugs measured.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 11-12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cefali.

See Cefali supra.

Cefali is silent to the detection of the drugs troglitazone or metformin and the metabolite fructosamine.

The court decided <u>In re Boesch</u> (205 USPQ 215) that optimization of a result effective variable is ordinarily within the skill of the art. A result effective variable is one that has well known and predictable results. The choice of a drug to monitor and the corresponding metabolite are results effective variables.

It would have been within the skill of the art to modify Cefali and use the drugs troglitazone or metformin and the metabolite fructosamine as optimization of a result effective variable.

Art Unit: 1743

)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743
